

May 14, 2026

Lol... seriously thinking about starting a Bible study thread titled, **‘Think about it?’**

This post is piggybacking off the one recently posted... Does anybody take the time to **RIGHTLY DIVIDE THE TWELVE APOSTLE'S COMMISSIONS?**!

It was demonstrated that there was no link found in the book of Acts showing the Twelve Apostles carrying out the so-called ‘Great Commission’ as recorded in Matthew 28... “Go ye therefore, and teach all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost:” **Matt 28:19**

That post ended showing that certain of the Twelve & Paul came to agreement that the Twelve would go to the circumcision & Paul unto the heathen... “But contrariwise, when they saw that the gospel of the uncircumcision was committed unto me, as **the gospel of the circumcision was unto Peter**; 8 (For he that wrought effectually **in Peter to the apostleship of the circumcision**, the same was mighty in me toward the Gentiles:) 9 And when **James, Cephas, and John**, who seemed to be pillars, perceived the grace that was given unto me, they gave to me and Barnabas the right hands of fellowship; that we should go unto the heathen, and **they unto the circumcision.**” **Gal 2:7-9**

Soooo... in spite of the fact that Matthew’s commission was given to the Twelve, perhaps we can prove that the Twelve handed that commission off to Paul? After all, Paul is deemed as the Apostle of the Gentiles... “For I speak to you Gentiles, inasmuch as **I am the apostle of the Gentiles, I magnify mine office:**” **Rom 11:13**

I can already foresee one ‘**minor**’ glitch, but perhaps a positive avenue also?

The ‘**minor**’ glitch is that Paul neither received his gospel from the Apostles, or was it even the same message being preached among the Gentiles... **Gal 1:11-12** But I certify you, brethren, that the **gospel which was preached of me is not after man**. 12 For **I neither received it of man, neither was I taught it, but by the revelation of Jesus Christ**; **Gal 2:2** And I went up by revelation, and **communicated unto them that gospel which I preach among the Gentiles**, but privately to **them which were of reputation**, lest by any means I should run, or had run, in vain.

A ‘**possible**’ connection is that Paul’s gospel, though a mystery, was to be made known to **all nations** for the obedience ‘**of faith**’... **Rom 16:25-26** Now to him that is of power to stablish you according to **my gospel**, and the **preaching of Jesus Christ**, according to the **revelation of the mystery**, which was **kept secret since the world began**, 26 But now is made manifest, and by the scriptures of the prophets, according to the commandment of the everlasting God, **made known to all nations for the obedience of faith**:

Hmm... perhaps a drawback to this ‘**possible**’ link is the fact that the ‘**DOOR OF FAITH**’ wasn’t opened to the Gentiles till Acts 13? **Acts 14:26-27** And thence sailed to Antioch, from whence **they had been recommended to the grace of God for the work which they fulfilled**. 27 And when they were come, and had gathered the church together, they rehearsed all that God had done with them, and **how he had opened the door of faith unto the Gentiles**.

Well now, maybe then we can connect Paul's tie, in fulfilling the so-called '**Great Commission**', via Paul's statement made regarding his confession, in having baptized w/water, to the Corinthians?

1Cor 1:12-17 Now this I say, that every one of you saith, I am of Paul; and I of Apollos; and I of Cephas; and I of Christ. 13 Is Christ divided? was Paul crucified for you? **or were ye baptized in the name of Paul?** 14 I thank God **that I baptized none of you, but Crispus and Gaius;** 15 Lest any should say **that I had baptized in mine own name.** 16 And **I baptized also the household of Stephanas: besides, I know not whether I baptized any other.** 17 **For Christ sent me not to baptize,** but to preach the gospel: not with wisdom of words, lest the cross of Christ should be made of none effect.

Hmm... believe I see another *hiccup*?

It appears that though Paul did on occasion perform the '**rite**' of baptism w/water, **Paul didn't specify any particular authority in doing so?** In other words, unlike **John the Baptist**, who baptized in the **name of the Lord (Mal 3:1)**, **Peter**, baptizing **Israelites** in the **name of Jesus Christ (Acts 2:38)**, though **Gentiles** in the **name of the Lord (Acts 10:48)**, as well as **Philip, Samaritans** in the **name of the Lord (Acts 8:16)**, Paul, baptized not stipulating having the authority of any of the above?!

In conclusion, I guess one must **think about** a different historic timeslot, in God's prophetic plan, in which the **Apostles** will be carrying out the '**Great Commission**', of **Matthew 28**?